



Your website needs you

Share your views on how investment trust fees should be declared...

Update
24 October 2024

One of the biggest headwinds to the investment trust industry was swiftly resolved in September, following the joint announcement from the Treasury and Financial Conduct Authority that London listed closed-end funds would be exempt from two EU directives that had led to an effective ‘double counting’ of costs. This has long been touted as a contributing factor to the wide discounts in the sector, as the higher reported fees had been putting off marginal investors.

However, just a few weeks later, the absence of a formal framework has led to a new row between trusts and the platforms emerging over how fees should be declared. With the industry at a potentially critical juncture, we believe it is important to get the views of as many stakeholders as possible and, therefore, would like to hear your views, with a prize draw on offer for those who respond to our survey. To help frame the questions, we have provided a brief summary of the story of investment trust charges over the past few years.

Regulation changes

Back in 2018, two pieces of EU legislation named PRIIPS and MiFID II came into effect. These had a raft of regulations, including on cost disclosure, designed to provide investors with transparency over the fees they were paying for investment products. The FCA’s interpretation of these rules meant that listed closed-ended vehicles, i.e. investment trusts, had to produce a key information document (KID) with a single statistic, which reflected the all-in costs of holding the investment, regardless of whether they were borne by the end client or not. This figure is known as the reduction in yield figure, or RIY. Many argued this was not giving an accurate picture of costs and in many cases was double counting.

The RIY figure is different to the ongoing charges figure (OCF) in that it includes costs such as transaction costs or the cost of debt.

Commentators say this approach has multiple problems. For one, it double counts the costs of running an investment company, as many of these costs are already factored into the trust’s share price, and also, it is different from how equivalent vehicles such as open-ended investment companies have to declare their costs. They claim that this doesn’t allow investors to make like-for-like comparisons. Furthermore, some REITs are treated as equities, which are not covered by PRIIPS and MiFID rules, and therefore don’t have to declare their costs. All protagonists agree that there is not a level playing field in terms of cost disclosures.

Analysts:

Ryan Lightfoot-Aminoff
Ryan@keplerpartners.com



Kepler Partners is not authorised to make recommendations to Retail Clients. This report is based on factual information only.

The material contained on this site is factual and provided for general informational purposes only. It is not an invitation or inducement to buy, sell or subscribe to any product described, nor is it a statement as to the suitability or otherwise of any investments for any person. The material on this site does not constitute a financial promotion within the meaning of the FCA rules or the financial promotions order. Persons wishing to invest in any of the securities discussed in the website should take their own independent advice with regard to the suitability of such investments and the tax consequences of such investment.

After several years of campaigning, the Treasury and Financial Conduct Authority announced in September 2024 that investment trusts are now exempt from PRIIPS and MiFID, with new disclosure rules expected to follow in the first half of 2025.

In the interim, a number of trusts have quickly shifted their position, and have published their KID RIY figure as zero, arguing all their costs of operation are already reflected in the share price.

However, many platforms have questioned the zero charges figures, claiming the approach is not aligned with the new UK Consumer Duty regulation. We understand some of the platforms have said they will make trusts unavailable for trading that declare a 0% KID RIY figure in the documentation they provide to the platforms. That being said, in the past few days one platform has decided to accept a 0% RIY figure if there is an OCF and an accompanying explanation. The situation clearly remains fluid.

It is worth noting that trust providers are not trying to hide the underlying costs of their products. For example, abrdn has added a comprehensive



‘Statement of Operating Expenses’ table on each of their trusts’ factsheets, breaking down the costs directly attributable to the trust, in this case, **Murray International (MYI)**, which we have shown in the table below.

Fig.1: MYI Statement Of Operating Expenses

Murray International Trust PLC					
Statement of Operating Expenses					
	Year ended	% of	Year Ended	% of	% Ch
	31 Dec 23	NAV	31 Dec 22	NAV	Y1
Recurring Operating Expenses (£000)					
Management fee (inc AIFM)	£4,929	0.42%	£4,748	0.42%	2.7%
Custody fees and bank charges	£451	0.03%	£411	0.03%	9.7%
Promotional activities	£400	0.02%	£400	0.02%	0.0%
Directors' remuneration	£208	0.01%	£157	0.01%	32.5%
Depository fees	£155	0.01%	£157	0.01%	-1.3%
Auditors remuneration	£52	0.00%	£47	0.00%	10.6%
Other administrative expenses	£440	0.03%	£417	0.03%	10.3%
Ongoing Operating Expenses (ex indirect fund management expenses)	£8,655	0.53%	£8,337	0.52%	3.8%
Expenses relating to investments in other collective investments	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-
Ongoing Operating Expenses (inc indirect fund management expenses)	£8,655	0.53%	£8,337	0.52%	3.8%

Source: Murray International, as at 03/10/2024

The way forward

The exemption of trusts from the PRIIPS and MiFID rules is clearly a positive step for investment trusts, with the hope that they will be on the same playing field as OEICs when it comes to costs. This should go some way to narrowing discounts and allowing the investment trust sector to grow again. However, the implementation of a new cost disclosure regime is clearly very important.

As such, we believe it is critical to hear from as many stakeholders as possible and, therefore, would like to hear our readers’ views on the matter. Below is a short survey. We would appreciate you taking just a moment to fill in your thoughts on the matter. As a way of reflecting the importance, we are offering all those who vote the opportunity to be entered into a prize draw to win a £200 John Lewis voucher.

Furthermore, if you have any further comments that are not covered in the scope of the survey, please get in touch [via email](#).



Disclaimer

Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future results. The value of investments can fall as well as rise and you may get back less than you invested when you decide to sell your investments. It is strongly recommended that if you are a private investor independent financial advice should be taken before making any investment or financial decision.

Kepler Partners is not authorised to make recommendations to retail clients. This report has been issued by Kepler Partners LLP, is based on factual information only, is solely for information purposes only and any views contained in it must not be construed as investment or tax advice or a recommendation to buy, sell or take any action in relation to any investment.

The information provided on this website is not intended for distribution to, or use by, any person or entity in any jurisdiction or country where such distribution or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would subject Kepler Partners LLP to any registration requirement within such jurisdiction or country. In particular, this website is exclusively for non-US Persons. Persons who access this information are required to inform themselves and to comply with any such restrictions.

The information contained in this website is not intended to constitute, and should not be construed as, investment advice. No representation or warranty, express or implied, is given by any person as to the accuracy or completeness of the information and no responsibility or liability is accepted for the accuracy or sufficiency of any of the information, for any errors, omissions or misstatements, negligent or otherwise. Any views and opinions, whilst given in good faith, are subject to change without notice.

This is not an official confirmation of terms and is not a recommendation, offer or solicitation to buy or sell or take any action in relation to any investment mentioned herein. Any prices or quotations contained herein are indicative only.

Kepler Partners LLP (including its partners, employees and representatives) or a connected person may have positions in or options on the securities detailed in this report, and may buy, sell or offer to purchase or sell such securities from time to time, but will at all times be subject to restrictions imposed by the firm's internal rules. A copy of the firm's Conflict of Interest policy is available on request.

PLEASE SEE ALSO OUR TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Kepler Partners LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FRN 480590), registered in England and Wales at 70 Conduit Street, London W1S 2GF with registered number OC334771.

